Before The Federal Communications Commission Washington, D. C. 20554

In the Matter of

Numbering Resource Optimization

CC Docket No. 99-200

REPLY TO MOTION OF THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION AND THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR FCC TO DISMISS THE PETITION FOR WAIVER FILED BY PROF BILL NEILL

The CPUC makes claims in its Motion to Dismiss that are exaggerations and miss statements and actions without legal authority.

Prof Bill Neill is able to represent and should represent the People of the State of California when ever and where ever the CPUC is derelict in its legal obligations to do so at the EXPRESS written instruction of the California Public Utilities Commission as authorized by their public vote after on the record discussions and deliberations about the issue have concluded.

This procedure was not followed.

No public vote of the California Commissioners was ever had and so any writings or Motion to Dismiss the Hexadecimal Petition by the staff attorney or the Interim General Counsel are without authorization per Section 307 of the P.U. Code, and therefore carry no weight with the FCC in its adjudication of this issue.

Needless to say, it would be political suicide for any commission member to oppose a solution that solves all area code issues in the wake of major public unrest and demand for immediate action thereon. In many of the writings of the commission, reference is made to "our search for a better solution" to reduce the need for more area codes, but that is a hollow claim and this is the very proof, the smoking gun as it were, of their lack of genuine interest in solving this problem.

The Attachment of the sham proceeding conducted by the CPUC clearly shows a lack of genuine interest by the commission. This proceeding was conducted in a nonprofessional manner, no professional consultants were hired, just a low class "don't look too closely at anything" proceeding that I objected to most strenuously. I repeatedly ask for the hiring of consultants, and to raise the standard of investigation, but all to no avail. The most interesting part of this is the fact that the very author, Ms. Mickiewicz, who expressed her opinion that the CPUC COULD proceed with the Hexadecimal Solution is the author of the Motion to Dismiss.

Furthermore, demand is hereby made that the legal staff of the CPUC, absent EXPRESS Commission vote, will immediately remove and dismiss its Motion to Dismiss from the FCC record within 5 days.

Prof Bill Neill has never and will never represent the CPUC, or its legal staff in any matter. Prof Bill Neill is now and continues to be a citizen in good standing of the United States of America and is a resident of the State of California in equally good standing. He has the right to petition our government for all grievances just as he has done in this case and to represent the People of our Country where appropriate.

I ask the FCC to proceed with the Petition for Waiver as requested to alleviate the unnecessary additional area codes.

Respectfully submitted,

PROF BILL NEILL

/s/ PROF BILL NEILL

Dated: July 20, 2003 Wm. J. Neill, Petitioner in Propria Persona

P. O. Box 33666 San Diego, CA 92163-3666

619/ 990-5711 voice mail 619/ 236-1213 home office

Email: <billneill@yahoo.com>

Petitioner in Propria Persona as Attorney for the People of the State of California and by proxy for the

California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, California 94102

[&]quot;I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"